1/10/0

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

BARBARA WILHELM,

Plaintiff

v.

:

: 01-CV-1057

COMMONWEALTH OF PA, et al.,

Defendants

HARRISBURG, PA

JAN 0 9 2003

MARY E D'ANDREA, CLE

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

JURY TRIAL

TESTIMONY OF THOMAS COURY

BEFORE: HON. SYLVIA H. RAMBO, Judge

DATE:

September 10, 2002

PLACE:

Courtroom Number Three

Federal Building

Harrisburg, Pennsylvania

COUNSEL PRESENT:

NATHAN C. PRINGLE, JR., Esquire

For - Plaintiff

SUSAN J. FORNEY, Esquire

For - Defendant

Vicki L. Fox, RMR Official Reporter

(	Jase	1.0	)	-cv-0	T	J.	57	-(	51	ı	<b>(</b>	L	ocumen	Ε	П	U	-	CO		Æ	J	/2	20	U	5	F	age 2	40	24	ı

					2
1		<u>I N D E</u>	<u>x</u>		
2		<u>Direct</u>	Cross	Redirect	Recross
3	<u>Defendants' Witnesses</u>				
4	7. Thomas Coury				
5	By Ms. Forney By Mr. Pringle	4	20	<u></u>	
6					
7					
8		•			
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21	,				
22					
23					
24					
25					

# <u>E X H I B I T S</u>

Defendants Exhibit Introduced Admitted
14. Memorandum from Conley to Deputy 15 -Commissioner of Administration regarding
Review of Legislative Affairs Office.

#### Coury - Direct 1 MS. FORNEY: Your Honor, the defendants call 2 Thomas Coury. 3 4 THOMAS COURY, called as a witness, being duly 5 sworn, testified as follows: 6 7 THE CLERK: Would you state your name, please? 8 Thomas K. Coury. 9 THE CLERK: Thank you. 10 DIRECT EXAMINATION 11 BY MS. FORNEY: 12 Q Mr. Coury, sir, are you currently employed? 13 Α Yes, I am. 14 Q What is your job? 15 Α I work for the Parsons Corporation in Pasadena, 16 California. I am the Vice-President of Parsons Advanced 17 Technology. 18 Q How long have you been in that position? 19 Α Since December of 2001. 20 0 And what did you do before that? 21 Α I was the Deputy Commissioner of Operations for the 22 Pennsylvania State Police. 23 Q Did you hold the rank of a Lieutenant Colonel in that

24

25

position?

Yes, I did.

Α

5

1 Q For how long did you hold that position?

a Lieutenant Colonel for seven years.

A From February of 1995 until December of 2001. Actually January of 2002 because of my actual retirement date. I was

Q And in your position as Lieutenant Colonel, did you always hold the title of Deputy Commissioner for Staff?

A I was never the Deputy Commissioner of Staff.

Q I beg your pardon.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

20

21

23

25

A From February of 1995 until July of 2000, I was the Deputy Commissioner of Administration. And from July of 2000 until my separation from the Department, I was the Deputy Commissioner of Operations.

Q Thank you, sir. Would you tell me what the Deputy

Commissioner for Administration is responsible for briefly?

A There's actually three Deputy Commissioners in the Department. It is staff, operations and administration.

17 Administration is involved in almost every aspect that deals

with people. That would be from hiring through until

retirement or termination or separation. So that would be

the Bureau of Personnel, the Bureau of Training and

Education, the Bureau of Professional Responsibility, the

22 Members Assistance Office, Department Discipline.

Q You mentioned within your responsibility was the Bureau

24 of Personnel?

A Yes, ma'am. And I also left out the Equal Employment

# Coury - Direct

- 1 Opportunity Office.
- 2 Q I would like to direct your attention to the spring of
- 3 2000, specifically April of 2000. Did you become aware that
- 4 | the Office of Legislative Affairs was going to be
- 5 reorganized?
- 6 A I don't recall the specific date or time, but, yes, I
- 7 am familiar with the SPR as we call it of Legislative
- 8 Affairs.
- 9 Q I am not referring specifically to the SPR, but I am
- 10 referring to the reorganization --
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q -- of the Legislative Affairs Office specifically to
- remove Ms. Wilhelm's position and replace it with a clerical
- 14 position.
- 15 A I am familiar with that, yes, ma'am.
- 16 Q Did you have any responsibilities in implementing that
- 17 reorganization?
- 18 A My basic responsibility was to relay the information
- 19 from the Commissioner on what he wanted to do with that
- Office to the Bureau of Personnel to make it happen.
- 21 Q What information do you recall relaying from the
- 22 | Commissioner to the Bureau of Personnel?
- 23 A I recall -- and I don't recall in which order I learned
- 24 | this, but I knew that the Commissioner's long term plan for
- 25 | that office was he eventually wanted to downsize it from a

Major. He didn't want a Major in that office. He didn't
want a Captain in that office. He wanted more like a Captain
and a Sergeant in that office.

He wanted to have the Policy Officer direct report

-- be a direct report to him. And he also wanted to do away
with the legislative analyst position and have a clerical
position in that office was his ultimate goal. I recall
relaying that information about the legislative analyst to
the Bureau of Personnel.

Q Now do you recall whether the Commissioner -- when the Commissioner formulated this plan?

A It had been one of the longer term plans that I can recall. When I was the Deputy Commissioner, we often had strategic planning sessions where he would lay out long term goals and ideas that he had. That was one of his longer term goals.

Q Do you remember whether the long term goal always included the replacement of the legislative specialist position?

A I don't recall how far back that went. But one of the issues had been discussed in the more recent conversations about the clerical position they needed.

Q When you refer to more recent conversations, what do you mean?

A More toward early 2000.

# Coury - Direct

- Q With whom was he having these conversations, with you or was he having them with you and other people?
- A I only recall him having them with me. There may have been other people present. I don't recall.
  - Q Do you recall being present at all when Major -- now Major Miller, then Captain Miller made a recommendation that the legislative specialist position be removed from that office and replaced with a clerical?
  - A I don't recall Major Miller, then Captain Miller, being present in a conversation with the Commissioner. But I do remember Captain Miller relaying that type of information to me. I don't know if the Commissioner was present or not.
  - Q What did you do in -- what information did you relay to the Personnel Bureau regarding the legislative specialist position?
  - A That that position was to be downgraded to a clerical position, and that the legislative position, meaning Ms. Wilhelm's position, they should handle it the way we typically handle people who are being furloughed or their position is being abolished.

When I refer to that, typically what we try to do is to find another position within the agency that is commensurate to the position that they are leaving. If that is not possible, to find a position that may not be at the same level, but certainly has a salary safe provision to it.

Or we look to other state agencies to find similar, suitable positions for the individuals.

In any instance, separation of the person from the agency would be the last step.

- Q Whose responsibility was it to perform those steps?
- A The Director of the Bureau of Personnel.
  - Q Did you take any other steps with regard to the elimination of the legislative specialist position in that office?
  - A Not that I can recall, no.
- 11 Q I would like to direct your attention now to 1999.
- There has been testimony that there was an investigation of a

  Captain Michael Simmers based on a complaint made by Major
- 14 Richard Morris.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

17

Were you aware of that investigation?

- 16 A Yes, I was.
  - Q How did you become aware of that investigation?
- 18 A Initially, I became aware of it when as I recall Mr.
- Morris came to me, said he had a complaint from Ms. Wilhelm
- 20 regarding Captain Simmers. I asked him the nature of the
- 21 complaint.
- He said it was -- as I recall, he said it was a
- 23 harassment type complaint. I inquired was it sexual
- harassment, gender harassment, criminal harassment. And he
- 25 told me he did not know the specific nature of the

1 | complaint.

He looked to me for direction on how he should proceed.

Q Did you give him any direction?

A Yes. I told him that the process was pretty well spelled out in Administrative Regulations 425, and either Ms. Wilhelm as the complainant/victim should fill out what we call a complainant processing worksheet to initiate an investigation, or if she preferred not to, as her direct supervisor and the person who has direct information from her about the complaint should fill out a complaint processing worksheet for her.

Q Do you know whether that was done?

A Major Morris completed the complaint and processing worksheet.

Q As Deputy Commissioner for Administration, what was your role in the BPR process?

A In the BPR process, in the Internal Affairs investigation process more specifically, all of those investigations will flow through the Office of the Deputy Commissioner of Administration.

To the best of my recollection, we are probably talking about some five hundred investigations a year. The role of that office is investigate allegations of misconduct, wrongdoing or personnel complaints. So it is difficult to

review all five hundred investigations.

But as a Deputy Commissioner of Investigations, I typically reviewed and monitored the investigations of a more serious nature.

- Q Did you monitor the investigation based on Major Morris's complaint?
- A On a limited basis, yes.
- Q Why was that?

A Because the initial allegation was one of harassment. The Pennsylvania State Police takes allegations -particularly internal allegations of sexual harassment,
gender harassment or criminal harassment very, very
seriously. When you work within a group and you're in the
same company, particularly in a small office, you can find if
you've got an investigation going, if you have the
complainant in the same office and the alleged perpetrator in
the same office, having them both work together can have a
chilling effect on the investigation where one person doesn't
want to testify against the other or give statements.

There can be the perception of unfair or undo treatment. There can be a hostile work environment. In my five years as Deputy Commissioner of Administration, if I saw cases that that could be occurring, I would typically transfer one of the people temporarily out of that office because of the chilling effect it could have on the

investigation.

Not knowing the nature of the harassment complaint in this investigation, I did make inquiries to keep track of what type of harassment complaint or allegation was this.

- Q Did you ever take any steps to transfer anyone out of the Legislative Affairs Office pending the investigation?
- A No, I did not.
- 8 Q Why was that?
- 9 A I was never clear what the nature of the allegation was.
  - Q Did there come a time when you asked the Bureau of Professional Responsibility whether Captain Simmers should be interviewed in that investigation?
  - A Yes.
- 15 Q Why was that?
  - A Because I think in this particular case, it was still unclear, at least to me, what the nature of the allegation really was -- what type of harassment it was or more details of what was occurring.

More specifically, I think in any investigation to be full and complete that the person that is alleged to be the perpetrator should be interviewed at some point. In some investigations, it is the very first person you would interview. In other investigations depending upon the nature of the investigation, they would be the last person to be

	Coury - Direct
1	interviewed.
2	Typically, it is my belief that everybody is
3	eventually interviewed.
4	Q Did you direct the Bureau to have Captain Simmers
5	interviewed?
6,	A No, I did not.
7	Q What did you do?
8	A I inquired I believe as to had he been interviewed, was
9	he going to be interviewed, something to that nature.
10	Q While the investigation was pending and before Captain
11	Simmers was interviewed, did you have any communication with
12	Captain Simmers about the complaint?
13	A Absolutely none, ma'am.
14	Q Did you have any communication with him about the
15	investigation before he was interviewed?
16	A Absolutely none.
17	Q There has been some testimony in this case regarding a
18	management conflict session involving the Legislative Affairs
19	Office. Are you familiar with that?
20	A Yes, ma'am; I am.
21	Q Can you tell me what the management conflict session
22	was? What is that?
23	A I have never actually taken part in one.
24	MR. PRINGLE: I object, Your Honor. If he hasn't

participated in one, how does he know what happens?

	Coury - Direct
1	MS. FORNEY: Let me rephrase my question, please.
2	BY MS. FORNEY:
3	Q Did you have any role in causing that session to be
4	held?
5	A Yes, ma'am; I did.
6	MR. PRINGLE: Objection, Your Honor. I am not
7	sure what the relevance of this line of questioning is.
8	THE COURT: I think the plaintiff testified to
9	attending.
10	MR. PRINGLE: She did testify to attending the
11	conference, but her own testimony is limited to her statement
12	as to who also attended.
13	THE COURT: I am going to permit her to put some
14	background information on this. Overruled.
15	MS. FORNEY: I will try to be brief, Your Honor.
16	I am sorry. I lost my last question.
17	(The question, "Did you have any role in causing
18	that session to be held," was read by the reporter.)
19	A Yes, ma'am; I did.
20	BY MS. FORNEY:
21	Q What was that role?
22	A As I recall, I directed the Members Assistance Program
23	that they should conduct a counseling session with the Office
24	of Legislative Affairs.
25	Q Why did you do that?

A It seemed pretty clear to me from what I particularly had read and heard that there was conflict in that office. It was a very small office. There was only a few people in it.

They worked in close contact with each other everyday, but yet there weren't getting along for some reason. I think as a senior manager within the agency to know that and not to try to do something about it would be wrong.

I think as a senior leader in the Department, it was my obligation especially since Members Assistance came under me and I was aware of these conflict resolution opportunities that we should make some attempt to find out what is the root cause of what is happening in this office and how we can correct it.

- Q Could you locate Plaintiff Exhibit 36, please?
- 17 A Was that Exhibit 36?
- 18 Q Yes, 36.

- 19 A They don't appear to be in any order here so it may 20 take me a minute.
  - Q I apologize. We lawyers make a mess of it.
  - A Yes, I have it in front of me.

(Memorandum from Conley to Deputy Commissioner of Administration regarding Review of Legislative Affairs Office was introduced as Defendant Exhibit 14.)

	16
	Coury - Direct
1	BY MS. FORNEY:
2	Q I am also going to hand you a document marked Defendant
3	Exhibit 14. I would like you to take a look first at
4	Defendant Exhibit 14.
5	Is this a document that you received?
6	A Yes, it is.
7	Q Would you tell me the date of the document?
8	A It is dated November 3rd, 1999.
9	Q Who did you receive it from?
10	A Major Hawthorne N. Conley. He was the Director of the
11	Bureau of Professional Responsibility at that time.
12	Q What is the subject?
13	A The subject is: Review of Legislative Liaison Office.
14	Q Where it says reference one, would you read that
15	reference?
16	A Verbal orders from the Deputy Commissioner of
17	Administration on October 12, 1999 to meet with Major
18	Virginia L. Smith-Elliot, Equal Employment Opportunity Office
19	and Barbara L. Christie, Chief Counsel, in order to discuss
20	existing problems within the Legislative Liaison Office.
21	Q Did you order those individuals to meet?
22	A Yes, I did.
23	Q Why did you do that?
24	A Well, certainly there was this ongoing discord within
25	the office, an Internal Affairs investigation of alleged

- misconduct, and a review of that office that indicated
  discord.
- Q When you say review of that office, are you referring to a particular review?
  - A It would be the Bureau of Professional Responsibility's Systems and Process Review of the office.
- 7 Q Please continue.

- A And there had been a letter from Ms. Wilhelm to
  Lieutenant William Horgas where she had requested to sit down
  with him and to discuss problems within that office. Still
  not having a good handle at my level on exactly what the
  nature of these complaints were and what the specific
  allegations were, and the fact that we had an Internal
  Affairs investigation on Captain Simmers and we had done an
  SPR review as we call it of the office, I asked the three of
  those individuals to review everything we had done to make
  sure that we had exhausted every possible avenue to answer
  Ms. Wilhelm's complaint or to address whatever problems
  seemed to exist there.
- Q Is Plaintiff Exhibit 36 the letter from Ms. Wilhelm to Lieutenant Horgas that you referred to?
- A Yes, it is.
- Q And what information did you get -- strike that. And is this Defendant Exhibit 14 the response that you got from Major Conley?

Coury - Direct 1 Α Yes, it is. 2 Would you read paragraph one of the response, please? 0 On Wednesday, October 13, 1999 and Tuesday October 19, 3 Α 1999, Major Virginia Smith-Elliot, Chief Counsel Barbara Christie and I met to discuss the review of the Legislative 5 Liaison Office. Enclosures one through five were 6 7 independently reviewed before our meeting. We specifically sought to ensure listed in paragraph three of enclosure one 8 9 had been addressed. Would you read paragraphs two and three, please? 10 11 Paragraph two: It was our conclusion that inasmuch as the witness was uncooperative providing nearly insufficient 12 and nonspecific information, the Pennsylvania State Police 13 14 have exhausted all reasonable avenues of inquiry. It was 15 noted though Ms. Wilhelm trusted the Department to evaluate her job when she desired professional advancement. 16 17 obvious distrust of the State Police to handle her complaint properly impeded the investigation and narrowed the 18 investigator's parameters. It's our recommendation that no 19 20 further action be taken. 21 Paragraph three. Consequently, our meetings 22 concluded. The Executive Office should continue on its current course of direction keeping vigil over the well-being 23 24 of the Pennsylvania State Police.

Did you take any action as a result of receiving this?

25

0

To the best of my knowledge, no. It required no 1 Α 2 further action on my part. 3 MS. FORNEY: No further questions, Your Honor. THE COURT: Cross-examine. 5 MR. PRINGLE: May we have a sidebar, Your Honor? 6 THE COURT: Yes. 7 (The following discussion was had at sidebar:) 8 MR. PRINGLE: Before I ask him questions, I want to make sure it is okay with you. He raised issues -- he 9 made an assertion that the Department takes sexual harassment 10 claims very seriously. I wanted to present evidence to 11 12 impeach him on that point. 13 THE COURT: With what? 14 MR. PRINGLE: There was an occasion during the Evanko administration in which an individual was found to 15 have engaged in sexual harassment which Colonel Evanko was 16 17 aware of and Colonel Coury was also aware of, and that person 18 was not disciplined. 19 THE COURT: Okay. Hold on. We are not trying a case within a case, and that is what is going to happen. 20 21 MR. PRINGLE: Excuse me? 22 THE COURT: I said we will not try a case within a 23 That is what that is going to present. case.

25

24

THE COURT: Does he have to fly out tonight or

That is why I asked.

MR. PRINGLE:

	20
•	Coury - Cross
1	tomorrow or what? Can we finish with him today?
2	MS. FORNEY: I will inquire what his situation is
3	Your Honor.
4	THE COURT: I will do it myself.
5	(End of discussion at sidebar.)
6	THE COURT: Are you flying out today or tomorrow?
7	A I will be in town all week.
8	THE COURT: Okay.
9	THE COURT: Mr. Pringle?
10	CROSS EXAMINATION
11	BY MR. PRINGLE:
12	Q You described Colonel Evanko's long term plans to
13	reorganize the office. I believe you said in early 2000, you
14	discussed those plans with him; is that accurate?
15	A I was aware of his plans in early 2000.
16	Q Were you aware of those plans in late 1999?
17	A I could not say, sir.
18	Q When you say early 2000, would that be January of 2000?
19	A It would be the beginning of 2000. I would not try to
20	put a month on it.
21	Q January, February would be fair to say?
22	A Again, I couldn't put a month on it.
23	Q Do you know whether or not at the time you discussed
24	those plans in early 2000 then Captain Miller was appointed
25	as Director of the Legislative Affairs Office?

	Courty - Cross
1	Coury - Cross
	A I don't recall, sir.
2	Q Were you close friends with Captain Michael Simmers?
3	A Yes, sir.
4	Q And would you describe the nature of that friendship?
5	A Captain Simmers and I have known each other probably
6	since 1980. Both professionally and personally, we have been
7	friends.
8	MR. PRINGLE: No further questions.
9	THE COURT: Redirect?
10	MS. FORNEY: No, Your Honor.
11	THE COURT: You may step down.
12	(Whereupon, the testimony of Mr. Coury was
13	concluded.)
14	
15	
16	I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence
17	are contained fully and accurately in the notes taken by me on the trial of the above cause, and that this copy is a
18	correct transcript of the same.
19	Vicki L. Fox, RMR
20	Official Reporter
21	The foregoing certification of this transcript does not apply to any reproduction by any means unless under
22	the direct control and/or supervision of the certifying reporter.
23	
24	
25	